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Overview of RPC Systems

Sun RPC
DCE RPC
DCOM
CORBA
Java RMI
XML RPC, SOAP/.NET, AJAX, REST
Sun RPC
Sun RPC

RPC for Unix System V, Linux, BSD, OS X
- Also known as ONC RPC (Open Network Computing)

Interfaces defined in an Interface Definition Language (IDL)
- IDL compiler is rpcgen
program GETNAME {
    version GET_VERS {
        long GET_ID(string<50>) = 1;
        string GET_ADDR(long) = 2;
    } = 1; /* version */
} = 0x31223456;
**rpcgen**

**rpcgen name.x** produces:
- name.h  
  header
- name_svc.c  
  server stub (skeleton)
- name_clnt.c  
  client stub
- [ name_xdr.c ]  
  XDR conversion routines

- Function names derived from IDL function names and version numbers
- **Client gets pointer** to result
  - Allows it to identify failed RPC (null return)
What goes on in the system: server

Start server

- Server stub creates a socket and binds any available local port to it

- Calls a function in the RPC library:
  
  - `svc_register` to register `{program#, port #}`
  
  - contacts `portmapper (rpcbind on SVR4)`:
    - Name server
    - Keeps track of `{program#,version#,protocol}→port# bindings`

- Server then listens and waits to accept connections
What goes on in the system: client

• Client calls `clnt_create` with:
  - Name of server
  - Program #
  - Version #
  - Protocol#

• `clnt_create` contacts port mapper on that server to get the port for that interface
  - *early binding* – done once, not per procedure call
Advantages

• Don’t worry about getting a unique transport address (port)
  - But with SUN RPC you need a unique program number per server
  - Greater portability

• Transport independent
  - Protocol can be selected at run-time

• Application does not have to deal with maintaining message boundaries, fragmentation, reassembly

• Applications need to know only one transport address
  - Port mapper

• Function call model can be used instead of send/receive
DCE RPC
DCE RPC

- **DCE**: set of components designed by The Open Group (merger of OSF and X/Open) for providing support for distributed applications
  - Distributed file system service, time service, directory service, ...

- Room for improvement in Sun RPC
DCE RPC

- Similar to Sun's RPC
- Interfaces written in a language called **Interface Definition Notation (IDN)**
  - Definitions look like function prototypes
- Run-time libraries
  - One for TCP/IP and one for UDP/IP
- Authenticated RPC support with DCE security services
- Integration with DCE directory services to locate servers
Unique IDs

Sun RPC required a programmer to pick a “unique” 32-bit number

DCE: get unique ID with **uuidgen**
- Generates prototype IDN file with a 128-bit Unique Universal ID (**UUID**)  
- 10-byte timestamp multiplexed with version number
- 6-byte node identifier (ethernet address on ethernet systems)
IDN compiler

Similar to rpcgen:

Generates header, client, and server stubs
Service lookup

Sun RPC requires client to know name of server

DCE allows several machines to be organized into an administrative entity

**cell** (collection of machines, files, users)

**Cell directory server**

Each machine communicates with it for cell services information
DCE service lookup

client

service?

cell dir server

server

Request service lookup from cell directory server

Return server machine name
DCE service lookup

Connect to endpoint mapper service and get port binding from this local name server
DCE service lookup

Connect to service and request remote procedure execution
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Marshaling

Standard formats for data
  - NDR: Network Data Representation

Goal
  - Sender can (hopefully) use native format
  - Receiver may have to convert
Sun and DCE RPC deficiencies

• If server is not running
  - Service cannot be accessed
  - Administrator responsible for starting it

• If a new service is added
  - There is no mechanism for a client to discover this

• Object oriented languages expect polymorphism
  - Service may behave differently based on data types passed to it
The next generation of RPCs

Support for object oriented languages
Microsoft DCOM
OLE/COM →

DCOM: Windows NT 4.0, fall 1996

Extends Component Object Model (COM) to allow objects to communicate between machines
Activation on server

**Service Control Manager**
(SCM, part of COM library)
- Connects to server SCM
- Requests creation of object on server

**Surrogate process** runs components
- Loads components and runs them

Can handle multiple clients simultaneously
Beneath DCOM

Data transfer and function invocation

• Object RPC (ORPC)

• Extension of the DCE RPC protocol

Standard DCE RPC packets plus:

- **Interface pointer identifier** (IPID)
  • Identifies interface and object where the call will be processed
  • Referrals: can pass remote object references

- Versioning & extensibility information
MIDL files are compiled with an IDL compiler
DCE IDL + object definitions

Generates C++ code for marshaling and unmarshaling

- Client side is called the **proxy**
- Server side is called the **stub**

Both are COM objects that are loaded by the COM libraries as needed
Remote reference lifetime

Object lifetime controlled by remote reference counting

- RemAddRef, RemRelease calls
- Object elided when reference count = 0
Cleanup

Abnormal client termination
- No message to decrement reference count set to server

Pinging
- Server has \textit{pingPeriod, numPingsToTimeOut}
- Relies on client to ping
  - background process sends ping set - IDs of all remote objects on server
- If ping period expires with no pings received, all references are cleared
Microsoft DCOM improvements

• Fits into Microsoft COM

• Generic server hosts dynamically loaded objects
  - Requires unloading objects (dealing with dead clients)
  - Reference counting and pinging

• Support for references to instantiated objects

• But... DCOM is a Microsoft-only solution
  - Doesn’t work well across firewalls
CORBA
Common Object Request Architecture

- Evolving since 1989

Standard architecture for distributing objects

Defined by OMG (Object Management Group)
  - Consortium of >700 companies

Goal: provide support for distributed, heterogeneous object-oriented applications
  - Specification is independent of any language, OS, network
CORBA

Basic paradigm:
- Request services of a distributed object
  
  • Interfaces are defined in an IDL
  • Distributed objects are identified by object reference

Object Request Broker (ORB)
- delivers request to the object and returns results to the client
- = set of code that implements RPC
CORBA logical view

- **client**
  - Generated stub code

- **object implementation**
  - Generated skeleton code

**ORB**
Assessment

• Reliable, comprehensive support for managing services
• Standardized
• Complex
  - Steep learning curve
  - Integration with languages not always straightforward
• Pools of adoption
• Late to ride the Internet bandwagon (IIOP)
Java RMI
Java RMI

- Java language had no mechanism for invoking remote methods

- 1995: Sun added extension
  - Remote Method Invocation (RMI)
  - Allow programmer to create distributed applications where methods of remote objects can be invoked from other JVMs
RMI components

**Client**
- Invokes method on remote object

**Server**
- Process that owns the remote object

**Object registry**
- Name server that relates objects with names
Interoperability

RMI is built for Java only!
- No goal of OS interoperability (as CORBA)
- No language interoperability
  (goals of SUN, DCE, and CORBA)
- No architecture interoperability

No need for external data representation
- All sides run a JVM

Benefit: simple and clean design
New classes

• **remote class:**
  - One whose instances can be used remotely
  - Within its address space: regular object
  - Other address spaces: can be referenced with an **object handle**

• **serializable class:**
  - Object that can be marshaled
  - If object is passed as parameter or return value of a remote method invocation, the value will be copied from one address space to another
    * If remote object is passed, only the object handle is copied between address spaces
New classes

• **remote class:**
  - One whose instances can be used remotely
  - Within its address space: regular object
  - Other address spaces: can be referenced with an object handle

• **serializable class:**
  - Object that can be marshaled
  - If object is passed as parameter or return value of a remote method invocation, the value will be copied from one address space to another
  - If remote object is passed, only the object handle is copied between address spaces
Stubs

Generated by separate compiler

rmic

- Produces Stubs and skeletons for the remote interfaces are generated (class files)
Naming service

Need a remote object reference to perform remote object invocations

Object registry does this: rmiregistry
Server

Register object(s) with Object Registry

```
Stuff obj = new Stuff();
Naming.bind("MyStuff", obj);
```
Contact `rmiregistry` to look up name

```java
MyInterface test = (MyInterface) Naming.lookup("rmi://www.pk.org/MyStuff");
```

`rmiregistry` returns a remote object reference. `lookup` gives reference to local stub.

Invoke remote method(s):

```java
test.func(1, 2, "hi");
```
Java RMI infrastructure

- **client application**
- **registry**
- **remote object implementation**
- **remote interface**
- **stub**
- **skeleton**

- **lookup**
- **bind**
- **return/exception**
- **remote reference**
- **f(args)**
- **marshal stream**
- **return/exception**

- **f(args)**
RMI Distributed Garbage Collection

- Two operations: *dirty* and *free*

- Local JVM sends a *dirty* call to the server JVM when the object is in use
  - The *dirty* call is refreshed based on the lease time given by the server

- Local JVM sends a *clean* call when there are no more local references to the object

- Unlike DCOM: no incrementing/decrementing of references
The third generation of RPCs

Web services
and
Riding the XML Bandwagon
We began to want
Remotely hosted services

Problem

Firewalls:
  Restrict ports
  Inspect protocol

Solution

Proxy procedure calls over HTTP
XML RPC
Origins

• Early 1998
• Data marshaled into XML messages
  - All request and responses are human-readable XML
• Explicit typing
• Transport over HTTP protocol
  - Solves firewall issues

• No true IDL compiler support (yet)
  - Lots of support libraries
<methodCall>
    <methodName>
        sample.sumAndDifference
    </methodName>
    <params>
        <param><value><int> 5 </int></value></param>
        <param><value><int> 3 </int></value></param>
    </params>
</methodCall>
XML-RPC data types

- `int`
- `string`
- `boolean`
- `double`
- `dateTime.iso8601`
- `base64`
- `array`
- `struct`
Assessment

• Simple (spec about 7 pages)

• Humble goals

• Good language support
  - Less with function call transparency

• Little/no industry support
  - Mostly grassroots
SOAP
SOAP origins

(Simple) Object Access Protocol

- 1998 and evolving (v1.2 Jan 2003)
- Microsoft & IBM support
- Specifies XML format for messaging
  - Not necessarily RPC
- Continues where XML-RPC left off:
  - XML-RPC is a 1998 simplified subset of SOAP
  - user defined data types
  - ability to specify the recipient
  - message specific processing control
  - and more ...
- XML (usually) over HTTP
Web Services and WSDL

Web Services Description Language
- Analogous to an IDL

Describe an organization’s web services
- Businesses will exchange WSDL documents
WSDL Structure

<definitions>
<types>

data type used by web service: defined via XML Schema syntax
</types>
<message>

describes data elements of operations: parameters
</message>
<portType>

describes service: operations, and messages involved
</portType>
<binding>

defines message format & protocol details for each port
</binding>
</definitions>
WSDL structure: port types

1. type definitions

```xml
<portType name="MobilePhoneService_port">
  <operation name="getListOfModels">
    <output message="ListOfPhoneModels"/>
  </operation>
  <operation name="getPrice">
    <Input message="PhoneModel"/>
    <output message="PhoneModelPrice"/>
  </operation>
</portType>
```

2. service definition

3. messaging spec
Microsoft .NET Remoting
Problems with COM/DCOM

- Originally designed for object linking and embedding
- Relatively low-level implementation
- Objects had to provide reference counting explicitly
- Languages & libraries provided varying levels of support
  - A lot for VB, less for C++
.Net Remoting

- Client
- Proxy interface
- .Net Runtime
  - marshalling
- .Net Runtime
  - Listener
  - marshalling
  - TCP/binary
  - HTTP/SOAP
  - Named pipes
- Server functions
Object Lifetime

**Single Call**: new instance per call (stateless)

**Singleton**: same instance for all requests

**Client Activated Objects**: Similar to DCOM (COM+)

Each time a method is called:
- Lease time set to max of current `LeaseTime` and `RenewOnCallTime`
- Requestor has to renew lease when `LeaseTime` elapses
- No more reference counting!
Away from RPC...

More Web Services
Google Web APIs Developer Kit - SOAP

- A WSDL file you can use with any development platform that supports web services.
- A Java library that provides a wrapper around the Google Web APIs SOAP interface.
- An example .NET program which invokes the Google Web APIs service.
- Documentation that describes the SOAP API and the Java library.
The future of SOAP?

• SOAP
  - Dropped by Google in 2006
  - Alternatives exist: AJAX, XML-RPC, REST, ...
  - Allegedly complex because "we want our tools to read it, not people"
    - unnamed Microsoft employee

• Microsoft
  - SOAP APIs for Microsoft Live
  - http://search.live.com/developer
AJAX

- **Asynchronous JavaScript And XML**
  - Client not blocked while waiting for result
- **JavaScript**
  - Request can be invoked from JavaScript (using XMLHttpRequest)
  - JavaScript may also modify the Document Object Model (CSS) - control how the page looks
- **XML**
  - Data sent & received as XML
AJAX & XMLHTTP

• Allow Javascript to make HTTP requests and process results (change page without refresh)
  - **IE**: `new ActiveXObject("msxml3.XMLHTTP")`
  - **Mozilla/Opera/Safari**: 
    ```javascript
    new XMLHttpRequest()
    xmlhttp.open("HEAD", "index.html", true)
    ```

• Tell object:
  - Type of request you’re making
  - URL to request
  - Function to call when request is made
  - Info to send along in body of request
AJAX on the Web

- Google Maps, Google Mail, Amazon Zuggest, Del.icio.us Director, Writely, ...

- Microsoft ASP.NET AJAX 1.0
  - January 2007
  - Integrate client script libraries with ASP.NET server-based code

- Google recommends use of their AJAX Search API instead of SOAP Search API
REpresentational State Transfer

- Stay with the principles of the web
  - Four HTTP commands let you operate on data (a resource):
    - PUT (insert)
    - GET (select)
    - POST (update)
    - DELETE (delete)
- In contrast to invoking operations on an activity.
- Message includes representation of data.
Resource-oriented services

• Blog example
  - Get a snapshot of a user’s blogroll:
    • HTTP GET //rpc.bloglines.com/ listsubs
    • HTTP authentication handles user identification
  - To get info about a specific subscription:
    • HTTP GET http://rpc.bloglines.com/getitems? s={subid}

• Makes sense for resource-oriented services
  - Bloglines, Amazon, flikr, del.icio.us, ...
Resource-oriented services

• Get parts info
  HTTP GET //www.parts-depot.com/parts

• Returns a document containing a list of parts (implementation transparent to clients)

<?xml version="1.0"?>
<p:Parts xmlns:p="http://www.parts-depot.com"
  xmlns:xlink="http://www.w3.org/1999/xlink">
  <Part id="00345" xlink:href="http://www.parts-depot.com/parts/00345"/>
  <Part id="00348" xlink:href="http://www.parts-depot.com/parts/00348"/>
</p:Parts>
Resource-oriented services

- **Get detailed parts info:**
  
  HTTP GET //www.parts-depot.com/parts/00345

- **Returns a document containing a list of parts (implementation transparent to clients)**

```xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
       xmlns:xlink="http://www.w3.org/1999/xlink">
  <Part-ID>00345</Part-ID>
  <Name>Widget-A</Name>
  <Description>This part is used within the frap assembly</Description>
  <UnitCost currency="USD">0.10</UnitCost>
  <Quantity>10</Quantity>
</p:Part>
```
REST vs. RPC

Example from wikipedia:

RPC

getUser(), addUser(), removeUser(), updateUser(),
getLocation(), AddLocation(), removeLocation()

exampleObject = new ExampleApp("example.com:1234");
exampleObject.getUser();

REST

http://example.com/users
http://example.com/users/{user}
http://example.com/locations

userResource =
    new Resource("http://example.com/users/001");
userResource.get();
REST-based Systems

- Yahoo! Search APIs
- Ruby on Rails 1.2
- Twitter
- Open Zing Services - Sirius radio

svc://Radio/ChannelList
svc://Radio/ChannelInfo?sid=001-siriushits1&ts=2007091103205
Summary
ONC RPC, DCE

**RPC/DCE**
- Language/OS independent (mostly UNIX, some Windows)
- No polymorphism
- No dynamic invocation

**DCE RPC** added:
- UUID
- layer of abstraction: a cell of machines
Microsoft DCOM/ORPC

- **ORPC**: slight extension of DCE RPC
- Single server with dynamic loading of objects (surrogate process)
- Platform dependent – generally a Microsoft-only solution
- Support for distributed garbage collection
  - Clients pings server to keep references valid
Java RMI

- Language dependent (Java only)
- Architecture dependent (JVM)
- Generalized (and programmable) support for object serialization
- No dynamic invocation
- No support for dynamic object/interface discovery
CORBA

- Cross-platform: language/OS independent
  - Widespread support
- Support for object-oriented languages
- Dynamic discovery and invocation
- Object life-cycle management
  - Persistence
  - Transactions
  - Metering
  - Load balancing
  - Starting services
XML-RPC/SOAP/.NET

- XML over HTTP transport
  - Relatively easy to support even if language does not have a compiler (or precompiler)
  - WSDL – service description
  - Proxy over HTTP/port 80
    - Bypass firewalls
  - SOAP has gotten bloated; large messages

- .NET Remoting & Web Services introduces
  - Language support for deploying web services (you don’t have to deal with SOAP)
  - Library support, including predefined services
AJAX, REST

- **AJAX**
  - Designed for web client-server interaction
  - Simple JavaScript calling structure using XMLHttpRequest class
  - You can encapsulate SOAP requests or whatever...

- **REST**
  - Sticks to basic principles of HTTP.
  - Posits that you don’t need additional communication streams or the method-like abstractions of SOAP or RMI
The end