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Consensus Goal

Allow a group of processes to agree on a result
- All processes must agree on the same value
- The value must be one that was submitted by at least one process
  (the consensus algorithm cannot just make up a value)

We saw versions of this

- Mutual exclusion
  - Agree on who gets a resource or who becomes a coordinator
- Election algorithms
  - Agree on who is in charge
- Other uses of consensus:
  - Manage group membership
  - Synchronize state to manage replicas: make sure every group member agrees on the message ordering of events
  - Distributed transaction commit
- General consensus problem:
  - How do we get unanimous agreement on a given value?
  - Most often, value = sequence number of a message

Achieving consensus seems easy!

Designate a system-wide coordinator to determine outcome

BUT … this assumes there are no failures
… or we are willing to wait indefinitely for recovery

Consensus algorithm goal

- Create a fault-tolerant consensus algorithm that does not block if a majority of processes are working
- Goal: agree on one result among a group of participants
  - Processors may fail (some may need stable storage)
  - Messages may be lost, out of order, or duplicated
  - If delivered, messages are not corrupted

Majority (>50%) agreement is the key part: If a majority of coins show heads, there is no way that a majority will show tails at the same time.

If members die and others come up, there will be one member in common with the old group that still holds the information.

Consensus requirements

- Validity
  - Only proposed values may be selected
- Uniform agreement
  - No two nodes may select different values
- Integrity
  - A node can select only a single value
- Termination (Progress)
  - Every node will eventually decide on a value
Consensus: Paxos

Goal: provide a consistent ordering of events from multiple clients
- All machines running the algorithm agree on a proposed value from a client
  - Value = event number, representing the sequence of the event
  - The value will be associated with an event or action
  - Paxos ensures that no other machine associates the value with another event

Fault-tolerant distributed consensus algorithm
- Does not block if a majority of processes are working
- The algorithm needs a majority \((2P+1)\) of processors survive the simultaneous failure of \(P\) processors

Abortable consensus
- A client’s request may be rejected
- It then has to re-issue the request

Paxos players
- Client: makes a request
- Proposers:
  - Get a request from a client and run the protocol to get everyone in the cluster to agree
  - Leader: elected coordinator among the proposers
    - not necessary but simplifies message numbering and ensures no contention
    - we don’t need to rely on the presence of a single leader
- Acceptors:
  - Multiple processes that remember the state of the protocol
  - Quorum = any majority of acceptors
- Learners:
  - When agreement has been reached by acceptors, a Learner executes the request and/or sends a response back to the client

These different roles are usually part of the same system

What Paxos does

- Paxos ensures a consistent ordering in a cluster of machines
  - Events are ordered by sequential event IDs \((N)\)
- Client wants to log an event: sends request to a Proposer
  - E.g., value, \(v = \text{“add $100 to my checking account”}\)
- Proposer
  - Increments the latest event ID it knows about
    - ID = sequence number
  - Asks all the acceptors to reserve that event ID
- Acceptors
  - A majority of acceptors have to accept the requested event ID

Proposal Numbers

- Each proposal has a number (created by proposer)
  - Must be unique (e.g., \(<\text{sequence #}.<\text{process id}>\))
- Newer proposals take precedence over older ones
- Each acceptor
  - Keeps track of the largest number it has seen so far
  - Lower proposal numbers get rejected
  - Acceptor sends back the \((\text{number}, \text{value})\) of the currently accepted proposal
  - Proposer has to “play fair”:
    - It will ask the acceptors to accept the \((\text{number}, \text{value})\)
    - Either its own or the one it got from the acceptor

A Programmer’s View

while (submit_request(R) != ACCEPTED);

Think of R as a key:value pair in a database
Paxos in action: Phase 0

Client sends a request to a proposer.

Paxos in action: Phase 1a – PREPARE

**Proposer**: creates a proposal \( N \) (\( N \) acts like a Lamport time stamp), where \( N \) is greater than any previous proposal number used by this proposer.

Send to Quorum of Acceptors (however many you can reach – but a majority).

Paxos in action: Phase 1b – PROMISE

**Acceptor**: if proposer’s ID > any previous proposal promise to ignore all requests with IDs < \( N \) reply with info about highest past proposal: \( \{ N', \text{value} \} \).

Paxos in action: Phase 2a – ACCEPT

**Proposer**: if proposer receives promises from the quorum (majority): Attach a value \( v \) to the proposal (the event).

Send **Accept** to Quorum with the chosen value.

If promise was for another \( \{ N', v \} \), proposer MUST accept \( v \) for the highest accepted proposal.

Paxos in action: Phase 2b – ANNOUNCE

**Acceptor**: if the promise still holds, then announce the value

Send **Accepted** message to Proposer and every Learner.

BUT: if a higher proposal \# may have been received during this time then send **NACK** to proposer so it can try again.
Paxos: A Simple Example – All Good

Paxos in action: Phase 3 – ANNOUNCE

Learner: Respond to client and/or take action on the request

Client

Proposer

Acceptor

Quorum

Learners

Proposer

Client

Announce(N, v)

Learners

Paxos: A Simple Example – All Good

Paxos in action: Phase 0

Client sends a request to a proposer

Proposer

Client

Request("e")

Learner

Paxos: A Simple Example – All Good

Paxos in action: Phase 1a – PREPARE

Proposer: picks a sequence number: 5
Send to Quorum of Acceptors

Proposer

Client

Prepare(5,"e")

Learner

Paxos: A Simple Example – All Good

Paxos in action: Phase 1b – PROMISE

Accepter: Suppose 5 is the highest sequence # any acceptor has seen
Each acceptor PROMISES not to accept any lower numbers

Proposer

Client

Promise(5,"e")

Quorum

Learner

Paxos: A Simple Example – All Good

Paxos in action: Phase 2a – ACCEPT

Proposer: Proposer receives the promise from a majority of acceptors
Proposer must accept that <seq, value>

Proposer

Client

Accept(5,"e")

Learner
Paxos in action: Phase 2b – ANNOUNCE

**Accept**er: Acceptors state that they accepted the request

Client → Proposer → Learners

Announce(5, "e")

Paxos: A Simple Example – Higher Offer

Paxos in action: Phase 0

Client sends a request to a proposer

Client → Proposer → Quorum

Request("e")

Paxos in action: Phase 1a – PREPARE

Proposer: picks a sequence number: 5

Send to Quorum of Acceptors

Proposer → Acceptors

Prepare(5, "e")

Paxos in action: Phase 1b – PROMISE

Acceptor: Suppose 5 is the highest sequence # any acceptor has seen
Each acceptor PROMISES not to accept any lower numbers

Proposer → Acceptors

Promise(5, "e")

Paxos in action: Phase 2a – ACCEPT

Proposer: Proposer receives the higher # offer and MUST change its mind

Proposer → Acceptors

Accept(7, "f")
Paxos in action: Phase 2b – ANNOUNCE

**Acceptor**: Acceptors state that they accepted the request

- **Client**: Sends an announce request to the proposer
- **Proposer**: Receives the request and proposes a new value
- **Acceptors**: Accept the proposal if it is higher than the current highest value

**Paxos summary**

- Paxos allows us to ensure consistent (total) ordering over a set of events in a group of machines
  - Events = commands, actions, state updates
- Each machine will have the latest state or a previous version of the state
- Paxos used in:
  - Cassandra lightweight transactions
  - Google Chubby lock manager / name server
  - Google Spanner, Megastore
  - Microsoft Autopilot cluster management service from Bing
  - VMware NSX Controller
  - Amazon Web Services

**Paxos: Keep trying if you need to**

- A proposal $N$ may fail because
  - The acceptor may have made a new promise to ignore all proposals less than some value $M > N$
  - A proposer does not receive a quorum of responses: either promise (phase 1b) or accept (phase 2b)
- Algorithm then has to be restarted with a higher proposal #

**Paxos summary**

To make a change to the system:

- Tell the **proposer** (leader) the event/command you want to add
  - Note: these requests may occur concurrently
  - Leader = one elected proposer. Not necessary for Paxos algorithm but an optimization to ensure a single, increasing stream of proposal numbers. Cuts down on rejections and retries.
- The proposer picks its next highest event ID and asks all the acceptors to reserve that event ID
  - If any acceptor sees a higher event ID, it rejects the proposal & returns that higher event ID
  - The proposer will have to try again with another event ID
- When the majority of acceptors accept the proposal, accepted events are sent to learners, which can act on them (e.g., update system state)
  - Fault tolerant: need $2k+1$ servers for $k$ fault tolerance

The End