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If you want to know the truth about Climategate, definitely don't use Wikipedia. "Climatic Research Unit e-mail controversy", is its preferred, mealy-mouthed euphemism to describe the greatest scientific scandal of the modern age. Not that you'd ever guess it was a scandal from the accompanying article. It reads more like a damage-limitation press release put out by concerned friends and sympathisers of the lying, cheating, data-rigging scientists

Which funnily enough, is pretty much what it is. Even Wikipedia's own moderators acknowledge that the entry has been hijacked, as this commentary by an "uninvolved editor" makes clear.

Unfortunately, this naked bias and corruption has infected the supposedly neutral Wikipedia's entire coverage of Anthropogenic Global Warming (AGW) theory. And much of this, as Lawrence Solomon reports in the National Post, is the work of one man, a Cambridge-based scientist and Green Party activist named William Connolley.

Connolley took control of all things climate in the most used information source the world has ever known – Wikipedia. Starting in February 2003, just when opposition to the claims of the band members were beginning to gel, Connolley set to work on the Wikipedia site. He rewrote Wikipedia’s articles on global warming, on the greenhouse effect, on the instrumental temperature record, on the urban heat island, on climate models, on global cooling. On Feb. 14, he began to erase the Little Ice Age; on Aug.11, the Medieval Warm Period. In October, he turned his attention to the hockey stick graph. He rewrote articles on the politics of global warming and on the scientists who were skeptical of the band. Richard Lindzen and Fred Singer, two of the world’s most distinguished climate scientists, were among his early targets, followed by others that the band especially hated, such as Willie Soon and Sallie Baliunas of the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, authorities on the Medieval Warm Period.
All told, Connolley created or rewrote 5,428 unique Wikipedia articles. His control over Wikipedia was greater still, however, through the role he obtained at Wikipedia as a website administrator, which allowed him to act with virtual impunity. When Connolley didn’t like the subject of a certain article, he removed it — more than 500 articles of various descriptions disappeared at his hand. When he disapproved of the arguments that others were making, he often had them barred — over 2,000 Wikipedia contributors who ran afoul of him found themselves blocked from making further contributions. Acolytes whose writing conformed to Connolley’s global warming views, in contrast, were rewarded with Wikipedia’s blessings. In these ways, Connolley turned Wikipedia into the missionary wing of the global warming movement.

Connolley has supposedly been defrocked as a Wikipedia administrator. Or so Wikipedia claimed in its feeble, there’s-really-not-much-we-can-do response to anxious questions from one of Watts Up With That's readers.

In September 2009, the Wikipedia Arbitration Committee revoked Mr. Connolley’s administrator status after finding that he misused his administrative privileges while involved in a dispute unrelated to climate warming.

If this is true, it doesn't seem to have made much difference to his creative input on the Wikipedia's entries. Here he is — unless its just someone with an identical name — busily sticking his oar in to entries on the Medieval Warm Period (again) and the deeply compromised, soon-to-be-leaving (let's hope) IPCC head Dr Rajendra Pachauri. And here he is again just three days ago, removing a mention of Climategate from Michael Mann's entry. And here is an example of one of his Wikipedia chums – name of Stephan Schulz – helping to cover up for him by ensuring that no mention of that embarrassing Lawrence Solomon article appears on Connolley's Wikipedia entry. And here he is deleting criticism of himself.

Connolley, it should also be noted, was one of the founder members of Real Climate – the friends-of-Michael-Mann propaganda outfit (aka "The Hockey Team") which, in the guise of disinterested science, pumps out climate-fear-promoting hysteria on AGW and tries to discredit anyone who disagrees with the ManBearPig "consensus".

Here he is, for example, being bigged up in a 2006 email from Michael Mann:

>> I've attached the piece in word format. Hyperlinks are still there,
>> but not clickable in word format. I've already given it a good
>> go-over w/ Gavin, Stefan, and William Connelley (our internal "peer
>> review" process at RC), so I think its in pretty good shape. Let me
>> know if any comments...
>>

and here are some of his associates:

From: Phil Jones
To: William M Connolley ,Caspar Ammann
Subject: Figure 7.1c from the 1990 IPCC Report
Date: Fri, 05 Jan 2007 13:38:40 +0000
Cc: Tom Crowley ,"Michael E. Mann” ,“raymond s. bradley” , Stefan Rahmstorf , Eric Steig .gschmidt@giss.nasa.gov, rasmus.benestad@physics.org ,garidel@marine.rutgers.edu, David Archer , “Raymond P.” ,k.briffa@uea.ac.uk, Losborn@uea.ac.uk , “Mitchell, John FB \(Chief
Scientist\)”, “Jenkins, Geoff”, “Warrilow, David \(GA\)”, Tom Wigley , mafb5@sussex.ac.uk,
“Folland, Chris”

Get that? The guy who has been writing Wikipedia's entry on Climategate (plus 5,000 others relating to "Climate Change") is the bosom buddy of the Climategate scientists.

Nope, this isn't a problem that is going to go away. Wikipedia may well be beyond redemption – as this useful resource site for Wiki-inaccuracies would seem to suggest. Like so many hippyish notions, Jimmy Wales's idea of a free encyclopedia for everyone was a noble intention which has been cruelly and horribly abused by some very ugly people.

Do you want to know just how ugly? I've been saving the worst till last. Here it is: William Connelley's Wikipedia photograph.

![William Connelley's Wikipedia photograph](image)

UPDATE: (thanks, wondrous Thomas 33 for your delving). Et Tu, Jimmy Wales? It seems that the dread Connolley once earned the approbation of the Wiki-King himself, as he boasts here on an old blog:

2005-12-19

**Connolley has done such amazing work...**

Back to wikipedia... Nature has an article on wikipedia vs Britannica. It was an interesting exercise, and as the most notable climatologist on wiki :-) they interviewed me, which lead to the sidebar article "Challenges of being a Wikipedian" (see the Nature article; click on the "challenges" link near the bottom). It contains the rather nice quote from Jimbo Wales "Connolley has done such amazing work and has had to deal with a fair amount of nonsense" (does Lumo still read this?).

He can also be found gloating evilly over his powers:

2006-01-16

**Wikinews**
A few snippets from wikipedia… I'm now an admin, and hence have ultimate power to CRUSH ALL MY ENEMIES HA HA HA HA!!! <evil laugh trails off into the distance>. Sadly no: the rules prohibit me from abusing my powers and there are always other people watching anyway. And not that I have too many enemies, Of Course. Some of the comments are interesting though: try the RFA, scroll down for the Opposes.

And I’ve just made my 10,000th edit. That slacker Lubos only has 2.3k, & Charles matthews has a feeble 54k.

Tags: Climategate, ManBearPig, Wikipedia, William Connelley
vyskol · 6 years ago

I realize this is just an opinion piece, would it really be so difficult to include an actual fact or two? Or to dig a little further into the ones you do include?

All this arm waving and finger pointing is quite entertaining - particularly the enthusiastic responses you get from your sycophants - but some journalistic integrity might help your credibility.

15 ^ | v · Share ›

echofloripa · 6 years ago

I would invite all readers to help improving the climategate article on wikipedia, which has been hijacked by alarmists that have a troop of sleepless zealots that work in conjunction with the aim to keep the page as useless as possible. Please bear in mind the use of reliable sources and read and add your views in the discussion page before changing the main article. We need more people to counter W. Connolley and his troop of alarmists:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/C... talk page:

5 ^ | v · Share ›

Mr Stoaty · 6 years ago

Hello children I came to play.

Let's play "how many obvious factual errors can you spot in the article"?

Answers at:

http://scienceblogs.com/stoat/...

10 ^ | v · Share ›

realityreturns · 6 years ago

I am amazed at how afraid these AGW scammers are of the truth. In no other scientific debate has data been kept from the public except in wartime or cold war emergency. Wiki is now trying to stop debate. This surely confirms the conspiracy that is being kept from the public.

IF WATERMELONS ARE NOT ON THE RUN, WHY HIDE BEHIND CLOSED DOORS. GORDON BROWN THE CHAMPION OF AGW IS SO SCARED HE WILL NOT DEBATE IT, AL GORE IS AFRAID TO GO UP AGAINST LORD MONCKTON IN PUBLIC...REALLY ROBUST SCIENCE WELCOMES FALSIFICATION...THE AGW ALARMIST CABAL SHOW THEMSELVES AS ROGUES AT EVERY OPPORTUNITY.
There is a fightback to restore the wikipedia climategate article.

http://magicjava.blogspot.com/

The Climategate article fightback

Quote: In the middle of the night on Monday morning, enough believers posted they wanted the article deleted to tie the count evenly between delete and keep. Then the polling was shut down early and the page was deleted.

The deletion is under review, as it occurred less than 12 hours after the delete notice was posted and there was no consensus for deletion. We need wiki editors to get over there and get this reversed.

http://magicjava.blogspot.com/

CLIMATEGATE No LONGER EXISTS ON ITS OWN WIKIPEDIA PAGE

Links to the emails are banned, the term 'climategate' is banned. References to James Delingpole have been deleted and are banned. The main focus of the article is on hacking. References to realclimate are fine. Editing certain sections of the page have been banned.

Here are some snippits from the wikipedia page formerly know to be the Climategate page:

I'd be happy to take climategate out of the lede William M. Connolley (talk) 13:01, 20 December 2009 (UTC)

Questions and Answers
Q2: Why aren't there links to various emails?
A2: The emails themselves are both primary sources and copyright violations. Wikipedia avoids using primary sources (WP:PRIMARY), and avoids linking to Copyright violations. If a specific email has been discussed in a reliable, secondary source, use that source, not the email.

I'll re-adopt an attitude of "good faith" as soon as the Wikibully cover-up artists unlock their kung-fu grip on this "article." As jfcj1 says in this talk page, "Why not just rename it 'The Official Wiki
Hi again Captain

I see you had already posted this link on another site. My apologies - it's not easy to inform the well informed....:-)

A friend of mine in Missouri sent it to me just yesterday.

Christams Greetings again...time to don my ManBearPig suit again to scare Little Willie Heaven and his evil wee pet, Georgie Moonbat.

Thanks Captain

Wise words. Happy Christmas to you too. I thought you and others may like to see this link:

http://joannenova.com.au/globa...

It is a good summary of Climategate in the form of a wall chart/poseter. You may have it already, as may James Delingpole and our other allies but if not please accept as a Christmas present.

Seasons Greetings to all.

RR

captainsherlock • 6 years ago

I am a friend of Tim Ball.

He is a great man but like many others who have tasked themselves with investigating and exposing the Climategate scam, he understimates the role of international organized crime and the threat of death or injury to deniers, whistleblowers and rebels.

The major obstacle to an Obama led Chicgo Outfit monopoly on cap and trade was in the North Tower on 9/11.

That obstacle was in the form of the talents, collective knowledge and intellectual property of the staff of Cantor Fitzgerald, Espeed and CO2e.com.

Obama and the Chicago Outfit had 685 of these people massacred on 9/11.

Nothing to do with science; it's just the Chicago Way.

Their mistake was to repeat that of the Japanese after the attack on Pearl Harbour.
Obama and his cronies have awoken a sleeping giant.

God help them all.

Happy Christmas
http://www.captainsherlock.com...

1 ▲ ▼ · Share ›

realityreturns · 6 years ago
Hi Thomas33

The link is good as is all the reasoning I have seen by Professor Tim Ball.

Perhaps the following may be of interest as this eminent climatologist was making known the following well before Climategate:

“A small group of scientists mostly associated with the Climatic Research Unit (CRU) at the University of East Anglia have consciously withheld data and methods to place global progress, development, economies and peoples lives in jeopardy.

Lord Monckton calls it a global fraud. It is that, but much more and raises the question about accountability? There’s a scientific responsibility to establish validity and credibility of new work. When the work is presented in the public arena as fact a different set of responsibilities emerge. This group bypassed or controlled climate science in the scientific and public arenas primarily through the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

Deception is unacceptable but as always the cover up compounds and exposes the culpability. Their ‘science’ supposedly proved current world temperatures are the highest ever and human...
Sure. But if we're talking about inventions that have transformed consciousness, how we think about ourselves and the world, I'd go for the invention of writing, which made possible a continuous historical consciousness and polities or states larger than the old city states. And close on its tail, both chronologically and in historical significance, the invention of money. Before which people were only paid in kind, so all labour was in effect slave labour.

As for your list, they're all following in the wake of the Greeks, Plato/Socrates being the pivotal figure/s. In terms of science and technology, I'm with John Gray who says in his book Straw Dogs that the only unequivocal good to have come from modern science and technology is modern dentistry. Otherwise it's very much a mixed blessing. As Wittgenstein put it: "When all the questions of science have been answered, the problems of life remain untouched."

Anyhow I'm off for a glass of wine, another world historical invention. Cheers and Happy Christmas to you, unbrainwashed. Always enjoy your contributions and more importantly your spirit! Wish i could say the same for some of your links! But there's no accounting for taste. Keep 'em comin'!

theunbrainwashed · 6 years ago

msher1 on Dec 24th, 2009 at 2:32 am

'changed the human condition more than any other single thing'

Yes. I agree, it was a magnificent achievement, in the medical sector, but being THE Single Thing is a bit strong...

I would have to say the awareness of Consciousness has to be THE Single Thing which has changed the Human Condition for from Consciousness, everything else manifests...

Here are a few others who could be in the top hundred or two

* Robert Adam (1728-1792) architect
* James Anderson (1739-1808) agronomist, lawyer, amateur scientist
* JOSEPH BLACK (1728-1799) physicist and chemist, FIRST to isolate CARBON DIOXIDE
* Hugh Blair (1718-1800) minister, author
* James Boswell (1740-1795) lawyer, author of Life of Johnson
* Thomas Brown (1778–1820), Scottish moral philosopher and philosopher of mind; jointly held the Chair of Moral Philosophy at Edinburgh University with Dugald Stewart

msher1 · 6 years ago
Catweazle

I tried to be funny about ICBM's with "green" rocket fuel. It's not funny. It's insane.

msher1 6 years ago

unbrainwashed

I know about Operation Paperclip. We got the best Germans and von Braun wasn't really a Nazi and our Germans ultimately beat the Russian's Germans in the space program.

OK, Fleming was a Scot. So are you agreeing or disagreeing that antibiotics changed the human condition more than any other single thing?

nomorepc

I thought about Hubble, but wasn't sure whether the telescope would be technology or science.

I understand your distinction between science and technology. That would raise the name Marconi. Didn't he theorize sound waves (To me that takes big theorizing - you can't exactly put your hands on one.) and then didn't he turn his theory into practical applications = radio and radar? Does that make him the most impressive of all?

Catweazle

"A number of years ago, the Pentagon spent some billions of dollars fitting “green” rocket motors to its strategic nuclear missiles"

I guess all I can say is that those on the receiving end of the ICBM’s would be very glad there no pollutants were released into the atmosphere before they were incinerated. (I mean it’s not exactly like those rockets fly a lot so you care about saving on fuel.)

Thomas33 6 years ago

I like this poster that somebody linked to on another blog: Climategate 30 years in the making. http://joannenova.com.au/globa...

captainsherlock 6 years ago

[We have taken for granted what must soon be defended]
bcc: 2500+

Sent December 23, 2009

Dear Prime Minister Harper

350 9/11 – Canadian Bill of Rights, Obama Law of Rules

Hawks CAFE believes simulation administrators of a ‘CO2e Dictator Game’ violated the Canadian Bill of Rights on 9/11 by murdering 24 Canadians found to have violated an Obama ‘Law of Rules’ where Obama has capped breath at 350 ppm of atmospheric CO2!

Our KSM agents have evidence that Barack Obama, then a director of the Joyce Foundation, paid the Kellogg School of Management in 2000 to develop a law of rules for 9/11 to eliminate threats to the Chicago Climate Exchange which he planned to launch in 2003/4 with Richard Sandor and the racketeering Canadian and genocidal psychopath, Maurice Strong.

KSM also has evidence that on 9/11, Obama’s Alinskyite radicals, Kellogg faculty and a Dr. Robert

see more

Thomas33 · 6 years ago
Ohanraohanrahan on Dec 23rd, 2009 at 11:30 pm
Thats not a good idea. The weasel mathematician can’t seem to keep hold of his data.
see Thomas33 on Dec 23rd, 2009 at 3:43 pm

theunbrainwashed · 6 years ago
rastech on Dec 23rd, 2009 at 8:52 pm

What an excellent link! Bookmarked.

A few years reading and understanding contained within.

Phew! I've just had an extended conversation regarding Locke, Berkley (subjective idealism) and Hume, trying to marry consciousness and form.... blablabla.

rastech "Locke’s 2nd Treatise on Government which created the scientific method and proved the correctness of “If the Law makes the King, then the King is subject to the Law”"

We now know that Obama (Joyce Foundation) paid the Kellogg School of Management to develop a CO2e Dictator Game in 2000 in preparation for the automated elimination of deniers/rivals to a future Chicago Climate Exchange (launched by Strong and Pachauri).
future Chicago Climate Exchange (launched by Strong and Pachauri)

Obama put in the 350 Cap and Kill Rule; giving the simulation administrator of the Kellogg Dictator game the authority to liquidate violators of the 350 cap on 9/11 which means anyone selected by the dicator since all humans exhale at around 40,000 ppm.

Obama through Joyce and Kellogg and Oxoby below ran the game through 9/11 and killed nearly 3,000 deniers.


So after 9/11, we replaced the Rule of Law under which the King must stand in the sovereign state by the Law of Rules above which sits the Dictator (Obama) and below which kneels the rest.

That’s why all the institutions are folding their tents, including academia; they deny and then they die.

nomorepc · 6 years ago

thanks for reminding me, Locke and tabula rasa

ecellent

owdal80 · 6 years ago

I didn’t worry too much about the biased piece in Wiki. No serious student or even schoolkid places reliance on its content, simply because everyone knows it is too easily tampered with.

Thomas33 · 6 years ago

There’s another article on Connolley here: http://network.nationalpost.co...

rastech · 6 years ago

I suppose I should add that probably the finest Philosopher ever, as well as the genuinely original and foundational scientist, John Locke, came from Wrington, in Somerset.
theunbrainwashed: "including a deep influence on the Founding Fathers of the US of A."

Well there were quite a few influences, maybe the chief of which was John Locke, our 1688 Bill of Rights which he influenced strongly, and the resulting creation of the Mother of All Parliaments, the resulting 'reward' for assistance in the 1688 Glorious Revolution that America was granted - its first Bill of Rights (circa 1700, which I have been trying to get a copy of for years now, so far without luck, and how does such an important document simply 'disappear'?}) - Locke’s 2nd Treatise on Government which created the scientific method and proved the correctness of "If the Law makes the King, then the King is subject to the Law" and maybe quite critical as the driving force of the War of Independence all on its own, Sir William Blackstones 1765 publication of "Commentaries on the Laws of England", which included a few unforgiveable, and very dangerous errors. I would say that Blackstone was probably leaned on to include the 18th Century 'hockeysticks', and his embarassment of these areas, isn't particularly hard to spot - and no I won’t list them for anybody, the 4 volumes are essential reading, circa 99.999% is indisputable. All I will say is, they are in there, Blackstone himself includes the Constitutional Philosophy that blatantly highlights them to allow anybody to realise what went on, and no way the Founding Fathers could have missed them).

I would put money on the Founding Fathers recognising the implications very fast, and seeing those implications, made the War of Independence (only 10 years later, remember), completely unavoidable.

Chicago University Press publishes the 4 volume facsimile copy of the original in paperback (orderable via any UK bookshop) or, Yale Avalon Project has scanned them and made them available online here [http://avalon.law.yale.edu/sub...](http://avalon.law.yale.edu/sub...)

Now go spot the deliberat errors. ;)

nomorepc 6 years ago

andrew30,

*Keeping the nuclear option open*

JD has another blog, so I can talk with myself.

In the 80s going into the 90s, doing research as a postgrad, I had to give a pep talk to arts students (anybody without any knowledge of calculus).

So I explained E=mc2 (incorrect, as: E=+/- mc2) and went on about the efficiency of converting this mass into energy)

really low in an A bomb (fission), so forget about Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Eyes wide.

Next step is fusion. thermonuclear. So we manage a better efficiency. near 20%. Eves wider and
• Next step is fusion, thermonuclear. So we manage a better efficiency, near 20%.

Eyes wider and speechless.

So let's go matter-antimatter: efficiency 90%+; electron smashed by positrons. Any questions?

Yes, please: do we have this antimatter, so you (meaning "astard physicist") can make this bomb?

A: we have (not in a bomb form)

So, we arrive back to your nuclear power:

Either it's CO2 a la CRU

Or nuclear energy for the future which becomes acceptable with the wider public as an alternative.

The choice is ours

andrew30 • 6 years ago

Nuclear Power, LNG, Greenpeace and the World Bank, together at last.

0889554019.txt

From: Anne JOHNSON
To: …William Hare bhare@ams.greenpeace.org, Michael Hulme m.hulme@uea.ac.uk, … Robert Watson rwatson@worldbank.org…
Subject: new IPCC-SRES Zero Order Draft
Date: Tue, 10 Mar 1998 13:20:19 +0100
Dear Colleagues:
I am sending you a copy of Ged Davis' IPCC-SRES Zero Order Draft on storylines and scenarios. The text is appended below, but I am also attaching versions in MS Word and in Rich Text formats so that you can better view the graphics.

5.2 Scenarios
Divided World is explored through a single scenario.
5.21 Resource Availability

High-income regions without indigenous oil and gas undergo a near-complete conversion to an energy economy based on nuclear or renewable based electricity and synthetic gases and liquids by 2050.

andrew30 • 6 years ago

Keeping the nuclear option open.

Looks like the CRU were getting a piece of the "Keeping the nuclear option open" project.
Looks like the CRU were getting a piece of the ‘Keeping the nuclear option open’ project. But of course the ‘green’ followers would never allow it, they would revolt (if they knew).

0925823304.txt

From: Trevor Davies
To: m.kelly@uea.ac.uk, j.palutikof@uea.ac.uk, k.briffa@uea.ac.uk, m.hulme@uea.ac.uk, p.jones@uea.ac.uk
Subject: Re: CRU Board
Date: Tue, 04 May 1999 09:08:24 +0100

Mick,

CONFIDENTIAL
.
.
I now have a leaked document which spells out some of the research councils' thinking. I will get a copy over to CRU today. Please keep this document within the CRU5, since it may compromise

theunbrainwashed · 6 years ago
msher1 on Dec 23rd, 2009 at 2:02 pm

Pardon me however I think you'll find that Fleming was a Scot, like most of the Pioneers of The Modern World, including a deep influence on the Founding Fathers of the US of A.

Wasn't the 'We The People...' Document based on the Declaration of Arbroath?

A wee rousing snippet here

'The Most Holy Fathers your predecessors gave careful heed to these things and bestowed many favours and numerous privileges on this same kingdom and people, as being the special charge of the Blessed Peter's brother. Thus our nation under their protection did indeed live in freedom and peace up to the time when that mighty prince the King of the English, Edward, the father of the one who reigns today, when our kingdom had no head and our people harboured no malice or treachery and were then unused to wars or invasions, came in the guise of a friend and ally to harass them as an enemy. The deeds of cruelty, massacre, violence, pillage, arson, imprisoning prelates, burning down monasteries, robbing and killing monks and nuns, and yet other outrages without number which he committed against our people, sparing neither age nor sex, religion nor rank, no one could describe nor fully imagine unless he had seen them with his own eyes.
msher1, if you had mentioned Hubble that would have made a difference.

Hubble is science.

msher1 on Dec 23rd, 2009 at 1:46 pm

Ye missed out the Main Man! TESLA!

Plus have you heard of Operation Paperclip?

Space Race etc...

nomorepc, give it up. Again, you argue technology vs science. Please note that no technology can exist without science and technology comes much later than science. 50 years or so later.

Even within technology, the concept of the integrated circuit was proposed in 1952 by G Dummer, a British electronics expert.

I stand by my statement: the 20th century belonged to European science and scientists.

Unless you want to call Einstein an American because he had American citizenship.

rastech on Dec 23rd, 2009 at 1:16 pm

Phew! Quite a trudge trough the Treasury on that cool link...

'unfinished, ‘Prime Minister’.' Truly shocking

'Make no mistake, this is the 64,000 ton room temperature container filled with nitro-glycerine, that these idiots are playing catch the parcel with.' - Fabulous Image!

'this is the same sort of insane stuff that brought down the Western Roman Empire, and, 1,000 years later, the Eastern Roman Empire,' - And still the Snow falls!
msher1 · 6 years ago
nomorepc

My understanding is that the U.S. military first conceived and built an internet. I think they had a classified one and an unclassified one. I think universities were allowed to link themselves onto the unclassified one and eventually that became what we know as the internet. I could be wrong about that - i.e., that it was the non-classified military internet that universities linked up to. But I'm pretty sure that the U.S. military first came up with computers linked to networks. Somebody else may have had the theory, but the military actually did it.

theunbrainwashed · 6 years ago
rastech on Dec 23rd, 2009 at 1:16 pm

'It's the yield (interest on the investment).'
GOTCHA!

theunbrainwashed · 6 years ago
rastech on Dec 23rd, 2009 at 12:12 pm

‘They don’t like it up them, Mr Mannering!'

That 'They' DON'T! LMAO!

theunbrainwashed · 6 years ago
echofloripa on Dec 23rd, 2009 at 12:01 pm

I get you wrong I think because there is a wiki bilderberg page


Are you saying the facts are wrong and can't be edited to correct them?

nomorepc · 6 years ago
Thomas33,

*chuckle*

How civil a reply by McIntyre. This Connoley intends to get away with everything, short of murder.
http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/g... is my blog post titled TURMEL: Censors exposed at Wikipedia corrupted mine too.
I’d also point out that someone made the UNILETS page disappear even though the UNILETS Resolution C6 to Governments to restructure the global financial architecture is in the Millennium Declaration.
What do they expect when people can change things without signing their real names. Tell me when there’s a whateverpedia that does.

My comment re ‘Einstein designed nukes’ and Twain was flippant; it was meant to mean that the different sciences provide bodies of knowledge that can be used for good or evil.

Twain entertained with works of fiction

Our speciality is the science of forensic economics; the tracking of assets, specifically the four dimensions of energy, talent, materielle and money thorough crime scenes.

We were the first to discover that the apparently random murders - 9/11, London Underground and Mumbai - and the CRU science data frauds, are linked back to a corrupt group of behavioral economists at the Kellogg School of Management and their 350 Cap and Kill Dictator Game developed for Barack Obama.

Game victims are linked via CO2e networks globally to Chicago Climate Exchange and they get to bid for the right to generate CO2 (breathe out and live) for the year ahead; if the CO2e bid price drops, the game simulation administrator (see patent Bartels) has a rebel (?) group whacked and then invites the survivors to raise their bids.

On 9/11, we now think that Carlton Bartels (whacked) and Stephen Harper and other potential
Can't remember who said it, climatology and engineering not my 'bag' (geo science- is my thing).

The gist being that CO2 in a thermodynamic system cannot be the driver of (anyway non-existent) warming.

The whole premise is a crock of doo doos...... but if the CO2 level (NOW) is 387 ppm and man is responsible for between 5 and 7% of this, how can Man be forcing/driving climate change with an insignificant, piffling 25+/− ppm?? Its a BS hypothesis.

I'll have to call (my mateys) Connolley or Pachauri, they will be able to bullshit some old crap err...explain it all....sorcery perhaps?

Thomas33 · 6 years ago
Some music for Connolley and friends:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v...

Thomas33 · 6 years ago
**Connolley a true to form climategate scientist deletes data**

Over at the climate audit website I've just found this:

"Recently, I made a request to Thomas Bracegirdle, junior partner of Connolley and Bracegirdle, for the model data used in two recent articles: Bracegirdle and Connolley (GRL 2007) about 20th century Antarctic models; and Bracegirdle, Connolley and Turner (JGR 2008) about 21st century Antarctic models" (McIntyre, 2009).

The reply: "Hi Steve

Unfortunately we have deleted all the NetCDF files that we downloaded after converting them to PP format. It would take some time (more time than I have spare!) to retrieve the data again or convert them back to NetCDF" (Bracegirdle 2009).

McIntyre’s response: "Dear Tom,

I find it hard to believe that the British Antarctic Survey would permit the deletion of relevant files for two recent publications or that there aren’t any backups for the deleted data on institutional servers".

It'll be interesting to see how this develops.
http://climateaudit.org/2009/0...

nomorepc · 6 years ago
agree with you, legion1; Tesla was one of a kind, maybe never repeated, unless cloning humans
works.

•

legion1 • 6 years ago
@ nomorepc+ msher1:

What?????
No mention of Tesla??


Hee Hee Nothing to do with Climate (Except of course for Haarp.) so I claim 'Credible' usage of Wiki'.

Want to know what true genius is, then check out Nikola Tesla.

sean • 6 years ago
Andrew30: "rather then the work of Mark Twain?"

May I suggest Dan Brown rather than Mark Twain. Exciting narratives full of sinister plots and conspiracies, playing on atavistic fears, riddled with historical inaccuracies and falsified data, culminating in a grand theory which millions have swallowed wholesale in spite of the readily available evidence that it's founded on a pack of lies. A best seller carefully crafted to part the masses from their moolah while undermining the core tenets of their foundational belief systems.

theunbrainwashed • 6 years ago
realityreturns on Dec 23rd, 2009 at 9:17 am

Did you spot the Cold Fusion Reaction experiment done in 2005? There was a note;

'But in April 2005, cold fusion got a major boost. Scientists at UCLA initiated fusion using a pyroelectric crystal. They put the crystal into a small container filled with hydrogen, warmed the crystal to produce an electric field and inserted a metal wire into the container to focus the charge. The focused electric field powerfully repelled the positively charged hydrogen nuclei, and in the rush away from the wire, the nuclei smashed into each other with enough force to fuse. The reaction took place at room temperature. See Coming in out of the cold: Cold fusion, for real (csmonitor.com) to learn more.'

Also this interesting vid at the National Ignition Facility
http://www.howstuffworks.com/f...
'climate scientologists'.

Fits.
Idea for a movie. **our shared humanity**

The reports of the botched execution of Clayton Lockett in Oklahoma are horrific to read.
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