1. INTRODUCTION

Approximations and redundancies allow mobile and distributed applications to produce answers or outcomes of lesser quality at lower costs. This paper introduces RAPID, a new programming framework and methodology for service-based applications with approximations and redundancies. While traditional redundancy optimizations select among service alternatives without changing user observable application outcomes, approximations change application semantics since they produce outcomes of reduced quality. As a result, users have to be involved in the service selection process. Previous work [1, 3, 5, 7] addresses this “quality specification” challenge by requiring the user or application programmer to provide quality functions for different aspects of the input/output behavior of an application. The quality reduction of an application is defined as the sum over all aspects’ weighted differences of the ideal output (no approximations) quality and the approximate output quality. Though RAPID uses a similar approach to quality specification, it focuses on service qualities and their interactions. This approach provides a more intuitive way for the user to assess the benefits of approximations relative to resource or cost savings.

To support service-based quality specification and search space explorations, RAPID introduces a graph representation that encodes an application’s services, their approximation levels, and dependencies between them. Finding the best service configuration under a given resource budget becomes a constrained, dual-weight graph optimization problem. Existing strategies use applications mainly as black boxes, and execute and measure applications under different approximation selections [1, 2, 3, 5, 7]. This training phase is done off-line, i.e., before application deployment. Without knowledge of the application structure and its dependence patterns, search spaces are potentially large. As a result, off-line training will be costly (e.g., in [6], the training time over 64 hours). RAPID represents applications through a weighted graph and derives a set of representative configurations that satisfies the mean or max error of an energy cost model. When porting application to another platform, only a short on-line training phase is needed which runs a computed set of representative configurations to determine node and edge cost (e.g.: energy) weights on the new target platform. The explicit representation also enables easy exploration of an application’s service selection space under different resource constraints and user priorities.

2. THE RAPID FRAMEWORK

Observation 1: Optimization spaces are large. The best possible application behavior may depend on input characteristics, available redundancy and approximation levels, user preferences (see below), energy and resource budgets, failure scenarios, and adverse environmental conditions. An exhaustive off-line training period could take weeks for certain applications. However, RAPID uses an explicit graph representation allowing programmers to express dependencies without much effort. Experiments have shown that pruning can reduce the search space size by up to 89%.

Observation 2: Quality is subjective. Quality is a concept that is often difficult to formalize, and does not generalize across different value/outcome domains. RAPID represents quality indirectly through relative preferences among “user critical” services, rather than through their actual quality metrics, if such formal metrics exist at all. Relative preferences also encode individual user expectations, which may vary significantly.

Framework Overview. Figure 1 shows the basic structure of the RAPID framework. The application designer, or system expert, determines the services used by the application and the dependencies between them. The resulting system graph is executed by an automatic tool to determine the energy weights for all services and the energy needed for possible service interactions. The user expresses relative priorities of all the services that she considers important. The application can be run within RAPID’s dynamic runtime environment or can be evaluated using static analysis tools.

RAPID’s key feature is its ability to balance and adjust the quality and selection of approximate and redundant services across the entire service web. Service reconfiguration is needed as a response to changing quality requirements, service availabilities (failures), or energy budgets.
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Redundancy Graph. The Redundant Services Dependence Graph (RSDG) is RAPID’s main representation for service-based applications. The RSDG is a directed graph with node and edge weights [4]. Nodes represent implementations of a service or groups of service implementations. Edges represent data or resource dependencies between service implementations. Weights represent the energy cost and mission value of a particular node or edge. RAPID determines energy weights by performing an automatic value propagation strategy during an initial off-line training period followed by online training on a small set of representative configurations.
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