

## Brief review of linear algebra, plus some additional facts

1.  $\mathbf{R}^n \equiv \left\{ \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \mid x_i \text{ real} \right\}.$

2. A linear combination of vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a vector of the form  $\mathbf{x} = c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{x}_k$  where  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  are scalars.

3. A set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$  is linearly dependent if some nonzero linear combination of them yields the zero vector; otherwise it is linearly independent.

4. A subset  $\mathbf{V} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is a subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  if  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{V} \implies c\mathbf{x} + d\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{V}$ , i.e., if  $\mathbf{V}$  is closed under linear combination.

5. A set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$  in a subspace  $\mathbf{V}$  is a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$  if each  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{V}$  can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$ . The dimension of  $\mathbf{V}$ , denoted  $\dim(\mathbf{V})$ , is the number of vectors in a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$ .

*Result:* A set of vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^n$  iff there are  $n$  of them in all and they are linearly independent.

6.  $\text{span}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k)$  is the set of all linear combinations of  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$ .

7.  $\mathbf{R}^{m,n} \equiv \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m,1} & \cdots & a_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} \mid a_{i,j} \text{ real} \right\}.$

8. For  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m,n} \dots$

column space( $A$ )  $\equiv \text{span}(\text{columns of } A)$ .

row space( $A$ )  $\equiv \text{span}(\text{rows of } A)$ .

range( $A$ )  $\equiv \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^m \mid \mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$ .

null( $A$ )  $\equiv \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}$ .

rank( $A$ )  $\equiv \dim(\text{column space}(A)) \quad (= \dim(\text{row space}(A)))$

9. The transpose of  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m,n}$  is a matrix  $A^T \in \mathbf{R}^{n,m}$  whose  $i, j^{\text{th}}$  entry is  $a_{j,i}$ .

10.  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n,n}$  is nonsingular if there exists a matrix  $A^{-1} \in \mathbf{R}^{n,n}$  such that  $AA^{-1} = I$ , where  $I$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. If  $A^{-1}$  exists, it is also the case that  $A^{-1}A = I$ .

11. *Result:*  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$ ;  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1} A^{-1}$  (assuming  $A$  and  $B$  are nonsingular).

12. The inner product (or dot product, or scalar product) of two vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is:

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \quad (= \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}).$$

13. Two vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  are orthogonal if  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ .
14.  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$  is an orthogonal set of vectors if  $(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .
15.  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$  is an orthonormal set if

$$(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \delta_{i,j} \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

*Result:* An orthonormal set of vectors is linearly independent. In fact, if  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$  is an orthonormal set and  $\mathbf{x} = c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{x}_k$ , then  $c_i = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

16. An orthogonal matrix is a real matrix whose transpose is its inverse. Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{rotation through angle } \theta \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^2)$$

*Results:*

- (i) The product of orthogonal matrices is orthogonal.

*Proof:* Let  $Q_1, Q_2$  be orthogonal. Then

$$(Q_1 Q_2)^T (Q_1 Q_2) = Q_2^T Q_1^T Q_1 Q_2 = Q_2^T I Q_2 = Q_2^T Q_2 = I.$$

- (ii) A real matrix is orthogonal iff its columns (rows) comprise an orthonormal set of vectors.

*Proof:* Note that matrix multiplication can be defined in terms of inner products as follows:  $(AB)_{i,j} = (\text{row } i \text{ of } A, \text{ column } j \text{ of } B)$ . Thus  $Q$  is orthogonal  $\iff Q^T Q = I \iff (Q^T Q)_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j} \iff (\text{row } i \text{ of } Q^T, \text{ column } j \text{ of } Q) = \delta_{i,j} \iff (q^{(i)}, q^{(j)}) = \delta_{i,j}$ , where  $q^{(j)}$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $Q$ .

17. Determinant. Recursive definition:

$$\det A_{n \times n} = \begin{cases} a_{1,1} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{(1+j)} a_{1,j} \cdot \det A'(1, j) & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $A'(i, j)$  is the  $n - 1$  by  $n - 1$  matrix gotten by deleting row  $i$ , column  $j$  from  $A$ .

*Results:*

- (i) Any row or column can be used for cofactor expansion (not just row 1, as in above definition).

- (ii) Addition of a multiple of a row (column) of  $A$  to another row (column) of  $A$  has no effect on  $\det A$ .

(iii) Interchanging two rows (columns) of  $A$  changes the sign of  $\det A$ .

(iv)  $\det(AB) = \det A \cdot \det B$ .

(v) The determinant of a lower (upper) triangular matrix is the product of the entries on its main diagonal.

Example: Pencil and paper computation of  $\det A$  using cofactor expansions:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = -1.$$

Computer algorithm for computing  $\det A$  for a general matrix: Apply Gaussian elimination to  $A$ , reducing it to an upper triangular matrix  $U$ . Then set

$$\det A = (-1)^m \cdot u_{1,1} \cdots u_{n,n},$$

where  $m$  is the number of row interchanges performed.

18.  $A_{n \times n} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n \dots$

$\iff A$  is nonsingular, i.e.,  $A^{-1}$  exists

$\iff \det(A) \neq 0$

$\iff A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$

$\iff$  the columns (rows) of  $A$  are linearly independent

$\iff \text{rank}(A) = n$ .

19. An eigenvector of  $A_{n \times n}$  is a vector  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  which is transformed by  $A$  into a multiple of itself, i.e.,  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ . The scalar  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

20. Characteristic polynomial of  $A_{n \times n}$ :

$$(*) \quad f(\lambda) \equiv \det(\lambda I - A).$$

*Results:*

(i)  $f(\lambda)$  is an  $n^{\text{th}}$  degree polynomial in  $\lambda$  whose roots are the eigenvalues of  $A$ :

$$(**) \quad f(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n).$$

(ii)  $\det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ ;  $\text{trace}(A) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ .

(These can be shown by equating the  $\lambda^0$  and  $\lambda^1$  coefficients in representations (\*) and (\*\*) for  $f(\lambda)$ .)

(iii) If  $f(\lambda)$  has no multiple roots,  $A$  has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors, one per

eigenvalue.

(iv) If  $A_{n \times n}$  is symmetric, its eigenvalues are real and it has  $n$  orthonormal eigenvectors.

Examples

$$1. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad \det(\lambda I - A) = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)$$

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \lambda_2 = 2, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \lambda_3 = 3, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$2. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad \det(\lambda I - A) = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)$$

$$\lambda_1 = 2, \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i \\ -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i \\ -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$3. A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad \det(\lambda I - A) = \lambda^2$$

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0, \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \text{only one eigenvector.}$$

$$4. A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad \det(\lambda I - A) = (\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 1)$$

$$\lambda_1 = 4, \mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \lambda_2 = 3, \mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \lambda_3 = 1, \mathbf{v}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(v) Suppose  $A_{n \times n}$  has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  with corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Define matrices  $S, \Lambda$  as follows:

$$S \equiv [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]; \quad \Lambda \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $\Lambda = S^{-1}AS$ ,  $A = S\Lambda S^{-1}$ .

*Proof:*

$$\begin{aligned} AS &= A[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n] = [A\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, A\mathbf{v}_n] = [\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \lambda_n\mathbf{v}_n] \\ &= [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = S\Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Note: If  $A$  is symmetric and  $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}$  are an orthonormal set, then  $S$  is an orthogonal matrix - call it  $Q$  - and the above becomes  $\Lambda = Q^T A Q$ ,  $A = Q\Lambda Q^T$ .

21. Gerschgorin's circle theorem. Let  $A_{n \times n}$  have complex (or real) entries. To each row of  $A$ , associate a disk

$$D_i = \{\lambda \in C \mid |\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|\}$$

in the complex plane. Then:

- (i)  $\lambda$  an eigenvalue of  $A \implies \lambda \in D_i$  for some  $i$ .
- (ii) If  $\cup_i D_i$  separates into disjoint sets, the number of eigenvalues in each set equals the number of disks in that set.

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -10 & -3 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

*Proof* of (i): Suppose  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A_{n \times n}$ . Then

$$A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}, \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \neq 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}x_j = \lambda x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Choose  $k$  such that  $|x_k| = \max_i |x_i|$ . We will show that  $\lambda \in D_k$ . The  $k^{\text{th}}$  of the above equations can be written

$$(\lambda - a_{k,k})x_k = \sum_{j \neq k} a_{k,j}x_j.$$

Thus

$$|\lambda - a_{k,k}| \leq \sum_{j \neq k} |a_{k,j} \frac{x_j}{x_k}| = \sum_{j \neq k} |a_{k,j}|.$$

22. spectral radius of  $A$ :

$$\rho(A) \equiv \max_i |\lambda_i(A)|.$$

*Result:*

$$\rho(A) \leq \max_i \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}| \right\}.$$

This follows from part (i) of Gerschgorin.

23. *Results:*

- (i) The eigenvalues of  $A^{-1}$  are the reciprocals of those of  $A$ . ( $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \implies A^{-1}\mathbf{v} = \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{v}$ .)
- (ii) If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , then  $\lambda^k$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^k$ . ( $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \implies A^k\mathbf{v} = \lambda^k\mathbf{v}$ .)
- (iii) Let  $p(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_kx^k$  be a polynomial in  $x$ . For a matrix argument  $A$ , define  $p(A) = c_0I + c_1A + \cdots + c_kA^k$  (also a matrix). Assuming  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , then  $p(\lambda)$  is an eigenvalue of  $p(A)$ . ( $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \implies p(A)\mathbf{v} = p(\lambda)\mathbf{v}$ .)
- (iv)  $Q$  orthogonal  $\implies Q^T A Q$  has the same eigenvalues as  $A$ .

24. A matrix  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n,n}$  is positive definite if  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} > 0$  for all nonzero vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

*Result:* A symmetric matrix is positive definite if and only if its eigenvalues are positive.

*Proof:* Write  $A = Q\Lambda Q^T$ ,  $Q$  orthogonal. Then  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^T \Lambda \mathbf{y}$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = Q\mathbf{x}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} > 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0 \iff \mathbf{y}^T \Lambda \mathbf{y} > 0$  for all  $\mathbf{y} \neq 0 \iff \lambda_i(A) > 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ .

25. vector norm: a function  $\|\cdot\|$  from  $\mathbf{R}^n$  to nonnegative scalars satisfying the following axioms:

- (i)  $\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0$  if  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ ,  $\|0\| = 0$ .
- (ii)  $\|\alpha\mathbf{x}\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|\mathbf{x}\|$ ,  $\alpha$  a scalar.
- (iii)  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$ .

Examples:  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$   
 $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \equiv \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$   
 $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \equiv \max_i |x_i|$

26. *Results:*

- (i) If  $Q_{n \times n}$  is orthogonal, then  $\|Q\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ .
- (ii)  $|(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$  (Schwarz inequality)

27. matrix norm: a function,  $\|\cdot\|$ , from  $\mathbf{R}^{m,n}$  to nonnegative scalars satisfying the following axioms:

- (i)  $\|A\| > 0$  if  $A \neq 0$ ,  $\|0\| = 0$ .
- (ii)  $\|\alpha A\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|A\|$ ,  $\alpha$  a scalar.
- (iii)  $\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$ .

Examples:  $\|A\|_1 \equiv \max_{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1}$ ; analogous definitions for  $\|A\|_2, \|A\|_\infty$ . Such norms are called *natural matrix norms*; they satisfy three other important properties:

- (iv)  $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$ .
- (v)  $\|A\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|\mathbf{x}\|$ .
- (iv)  $\|I_{n \times n}\| = 1$ .

An example of a matrix norm that is not a natural matrix norm is the *Frobenius* norm:  $\|A\|_F \equiv \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}^2}$  ( $= \sqrt{\text{trace}(A^T A)}$  via 20, part(ii).) Note that  $\|I_{n \times n}\| = n$ ; thus property (iv) does not hold.

28. *Result:*

$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\rho(A^T A)}$$

*Proof:* By definition,

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}.$$

Observe that

$$(1) \quad \|A\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = (A\mathbf{x})^T A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T A^T A\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}, A^T A\mathbf{x})$$

and that  $A^T A$  is symmetric [ $(A^T A)^T = A^T (A^T)^T = A^T A$ ]. Thus there exist orthonormal  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  and real  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\}$  such that  $A^T A\mathbf{v}_i = \mu_i \mathbf{v}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ . Taking  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}_i$  in (1) gives

$$\|A\mathbf{v}_i\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{v}_i, \mu_i \mathbf{v}_i) = \mu_i.$$

The eigenvalues of  $A^T A$  are therefore non-negative. We assume they are ordered as follows:

$$\mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n \geq 0.$$

Any vector  $\mathbf{x}$  can be written

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbf{v}_i$$

for some set of  $c_i$ 's. Using (1), this representation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbf{v}_i, A^T A \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \mathbf{v}_j \right) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbf{v}_i, \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \mu_j \mathbf{v}_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i c_j \mu_j (\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \mu_i. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbf{v}_i, \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \mathbf{v}_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i c_j (\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2.$$

Thus

$$(2) \quad \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \mu_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}}.$$

It is now evident that  $\max_{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}$  occurs for  $c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = \dots = c_n = 0$ , which corresponds to  $\mathbf{x} =$  a multiple of  $\mathbf{v}_1$ . Thus by (2):

$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\mu_1} = \sqrt{\rho(A^T A)}.$$

Note that if  $A$  is symmetric,  $\rho(A^T A) = \rho(A^2) = [\rho(A)]^2$  (the eigenvalues of  $A^2$  are the squares of those of  $A$ ). Thus

$$\|A\|_2 = \rho(A) \quad \text{for a symmetric } A.$$

29. *Result:*

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}| \right).$$

*Proof:* By definition,

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty}.$$

Now

$$|(A\mathbf{x})_i| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} x_j \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}| |x_j| \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}| \right) \|x\|_\infty \leq \max_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}| \right) \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty.$$

Thus

$$(*) \quad \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty} \leq \max_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}| \right).$$

Now choose  $k$  so that  $\max_i \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}| = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{k,j}|$  and define a vector  $x$  as follows:

$$x_j = \begin{cases} -1, & a_{k,j} < 0 \\ +1, & a_{k,j} \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

For this  $\mathbf{x}$ , it can easily be verified that the left and right hand sides of (\*) are equal. This establishes the desired result.

30. *Result:* If  $P_{m \times m}$  and  $Q_{n \times n}$  are orthogonal and  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m,n}$ , then  $\|PAQ\|_2 = \|A\|_2$ ,  $\|PAQ\|_F = \|A\|_F$ .

*Proof:*  $\|PAQ\|_2^2 = \rho((PAQ)^T PAQ) = \rho(Q^T A^T P^T PAQ) = \rho(Q^T A^T A Q) = \rho(A^T A) = \|A\|_2^2$ . (see 23, part (iv) )

Similarly,  $\|PAQ\|_F^2 = \text{trace}((PAQ)^T (PAQ)) = \text{trace}(Q^T A^T A Q) = \text{trace}(A^T A) = \|A\|_F^2$  using 23, (iv) again and 20, (ii).

31. *Result:* Condition number bound

Suppose  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  and  $(A + \delta A)(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b} + \delta \mathbf{b}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} &\leq \frac{\kappa(A)}{1 - \kappa(A) \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}} \left( \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} + \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} \right) \quad \text{provided } \kappa(A) \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} < 1 \\ \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} &\lesssim \kappa(A) \left( \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} + \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} \right) \quad \text{provided } \kappa(A) \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} \ll 1 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* We have

$$\begin{aligned} (A + \delta A)\mathbf{x} + (A + \delta A)\delta \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{b} + \delta \mathbf{b} \\ (A + \delta A)\delta \mathbf{x} &= -\delta A \mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{b} \\ (I + A^{-1}\delta A)\delta \mathbf{x} &= -A^{-1}\delta A \mathbf{x} + A^{-1}\delta \mathbf{b}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|\delta \mathbf{x}\| (1 - \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\|) \leq \|(I + A^{-1}\delta A)\delta \mathbf{x}\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\| \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta \mathbf{b}\|.$$

Therefore, provided  $\|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\| < 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta \mathbf{x}\| &\leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\| \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{1 - \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\|} \\ \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} &\leq \frac{\kappa(A)}{1 - \kappa(A) \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}} \left( \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} + \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|A\| \|\mathbf{x}\|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The desired result follows from  $\|\mathbf{b}\| \leq \|A\| \|\mathbf{x}\|$ .

Special case: if  $\delta A = 0$ , then

$$\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \leq \kappa(A) \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|}.$$